

## National Republican.

A. M. CLAPP, . . . . . EDITOR.  
THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN  
is published daily (Sundays excepted) by  
The National Republican Printing and Publishing Co.,  
No. 1308 E Street Northwest.  
TERMS:  
One copy one year, \$5.00  
One copy one month, \$1.00  
Advertisements for publication should be  
sent to the Editor, and only upon one side of the paper.  
When companies are sent to either side, the other side  
must be paid for. Advertisements will not be printed  
unless accompanied by cash or order.

Mr. D. DAVISON is the Agent for the  
receipt of Advertisements and Subscriptions  
for this paper, and also for the Collection of  
Accounts.  
Mr. W. H. POPE is also authorized to receive  
Advertisements.

Largest Legitimate Morning Circulation in the District.  
WASHINGTON, JUNE 16, 1879.

**CAMPAIGN DOCUMENTS.**  
The National Republican Printing and Publishing Company has printed and for sale, in large or small quantities, the following speeches:  
Senator Canfield, 16 pages.  
Senator Edmunds, 32 pages.  
Senator Blaine, 16 pages.  
Hon. Charles H. Hayes, 16 pages.  
Hon. J. C. Burrows, 16 pages.  
Hon. James A. Garfield, 8 pages.  
Other speeches will be added to the list from time to time.  
Orders by mail promptly filled.

ONLY fifteen days until the existing Executive appropriations expire.

It is announced that BEN BUTLER will stump Ohio for EWING. The story is almost too good to be true.

THE Democrats declare that they forced the extra session of Congress "to make new issues." They have succeeded, and the Republican party accept them with thanks.

FEARS are expressed now that President HAYES may veto an adjournment of Congress. Probably not, though he may invite the gentlemen back again should they forget anything in the way of duty.

BAYARD will stick! That is to say, he will stick to his principles and fulfill his threat to resign the chairmanship of the Finance Committee, unless the WARNER silver bill is permitted to remain in the pigeon-hole he has provided for it.

TRUDEN'S MAN SALIENTIAL has been deposed from the chairmanship of the Massachusetts State Democratic Committee. REV. E. NORTON, his successor, is a pronounced BAYARD man. Mr. TRUDEN may discern a faint indication of a boom in this fact.

THE Democratic State Committee of Massachusetts met in Boston on Saturday last, and it was observed that no one had anything to say in favor of BUTLER, and the impression conveyed by the speeches and the informal remarks was that BUTLER had no hold on the party.

WITH a clear working majority in both Houses, the thorough majority in both Houses of this extra session of Congress in doing nothing—practically and emphatically nothing! This, too, since the "brains and statesmanship"—save the mark—of the South has returned to power in our national councils.

HOLD fast to all we've got, Messrs. Republican Congressmen, and we will certainly get more. The Bourbons have practically abandoned the position they assumed at the outset of the session, and have become more reasonable in their demands than was to have been expected. Another turn at the veto vise and they'll yell enough.

THE Democrats of the South are now complaining for the reason that Senator BLAINE did not enter the army during the war to crash rebellion. They ought to be well satisfied that he did not, for had he been at the front and handled the rebels as he has since they began to appear in Congress, Mr. SEWARD's prediction of ninety days would have been verified, and there wouldn't have been enough rebels left of the struggle on that side to erect a monument to the hero of slavery at Andersonville.

THE end of this SPOFFORD-KELOGG contest will be an appropriation to pay the expenses incurred by the contestants. To this sum, which will probably amount to eight or ten thousand dollars, must be added the cost of the investigation, including mileage, per diem, etc., which will increase it ten or twelve thousand dollars more. In other words, the Democratic State Committee on Privileges and Elections is incurring a waste of about \$25,000 of the people's money in examining into a case that has already been declared by the Senate to be *res adjudicata*.

Now that Senator EDMUNDS has gone to Europe we should, if Senator THURMAN is a truthful man, have no more vetoes, for, according to the THURMAN theory, there is no one left to inspire them. But Mr. THURMAN is not a truthful man in this regard, at least. The inspiration of the President's course proceeds from his antipathy to the devotion to his ideas of right and wrong, to a determination, in short, that "a bare majority" of Congress shall not usurp all the powers and functions of the Government. So long as he is President he does not intend to yield the duties and power of the office to the control of the Bourbon caucus.

JOE BLACKBURN'S address at Arlington last Friday was really a mild-spoken, conservative, and temperate effort—short, as for BLACKBURN. He couldn't have said less, and he might have said much more by way of extolling the Confederacy. It is true he attempted to draw a distinction between rebellion and treason, and asserted that the Southern rebels were not traitors, but he did it with the circumspection of a man who wore a muzzle and who was afraid of saying something that would render him liable to criticism. The most creditable thing that can be said of his effort on that occasion is that the Extremists among his Confederate auditors were one and all dis-

satisfied with it. They expected some ill-voiced, untempered, and untruthful effort, and they were disappointed.

The political gossip who have had access to the inner secrets of partisan affairs during the past few weeks have been greatly amused at the talk about imparting back-bone to the President since his first veto—of this extra session, we mean. The truth is that the imparting, so to speak, has been the other way. It is known that there were several Staff members of the House who were half-inclined to compromise terms after that veto, but who were brought back into the ranks when they found the President more determined than ever to preserve intact the high privileges and prerogatives of the Executive against the insidious and seditious encroachments of the Bourbon caucus.

The Western Democrats, from THURMAN down to IRE HILL, have gone over to the Infatigables, horse, foot, and dragon. The nomination of TOM EWING settled that; and they tried to carry the entire party with them by procuring immediate and favorable final action on the WARNER silver bill. They would have succeeded in this endeavor if Senators BAYARD, EATON, and other Eastern Democrats had not interposed a most abrupt and threatening objection. This objection, as all the world knows, has been yielded to by the Western Infatigables for the sake of a temporary peace and to preserve the Democrats from the disgrace of having the chairman of their leading committee in the Senate resign. But it is a patched-up peace, one that cannot last long, and one that cannot fail to have a disastrous effect upon the future of the party. It will be interesting to note its influence upon the next Democratic convention. The fight between the hands and the softs in that body will be very bitter.

NOW THE Lynchburg Virginian is trying to get up a quarrel with our brief daily "Chronology of the Rebellion." It is to be regretted that history relating to that period cannot be now shaped to please the tastes of those on the other side; and we see no way, if the Virginian is not satisfied with our brief chronology, but for it to set up a chronology shop of its own, suited to that meridian. A truthful record of the war, even in brief, or of the fourteen years since the war pretended to be over, cannot be presented in any light so as to please the unrepentant, unconverted, and unconverted rebel, who only submits to the Government now so far as he is compelled by its exercise of authority. All we have to say further to the Virginian on this subject is, if it doesn't like our "Pertinent Extracts from the 'Chronology of the Rebellion,'" it can take of it, or none at all, that suits it best; but the record will be kept before the people to show them what has been and what is to come again if those who inaugurated the rebellion are permitted to push their wrong purposes upon the Government through such agencies as have come to the front during the pending session of Congress. We sympathize with the Virginian in its discomfiture, but cannot help it out.

JEFF DAVIS is either a wronged and persecuted citizen or he is a freed galloway bird and an unrepentant traitor. He either was right or he was wrong during the late war, and in this respect he represents the entire body of Southern citizens, who sympathize with him in his present political sentiments. Of those sentiments he makes, in his late Paeagonia speech, the following explicit explanation:

From the beginning to end, in property and adversity, when leading bodies of men or laden with chains in a dungeon, I have been true to Mississippi. I believe you have done right, and I do not feel that I have done wrong.

This declaration, it should be understood, is emphatically and cordially endorsed by every Southern Democratic leader in the country. It is the only platform upon which they can stand before this people, and the only one by which they can hope to attain public favor, power, or place. Exactly how they can conscientiously reconcile it with the admissions required of them when they renewed their allegiance to the United States at the surrender we will not pretend to say, but this we do know, that if the rebels were right during the war they must be very wrong now; that is, if they are even half as loyal as they claim to be.

**DETERMINED TO STOP BULL-DOZING.**  
As will be seen by the proceedings published elsewhere, some of the best citizens of Best Field have a meeting near Cayceville on the 17th inst. and decided against bull-doing. We are informed that 200 men were present, and that the sentiment against such lawlessness has been carried on in a country for the last two years was very different. Next Saturday another meeting of citizens will be held at Cayceville for the purpose of organizing a committee to put down bull-doing, and every man opposed to the desecration should attend the meeting. Citizens from last session have been determined, at all hazards, to break up bull-doing, that they intend calling on the Governor for arms, but if he refuses to furnish them, they will arm themselves and carry the war to the bitter end. It must be stopped. People had begun to think that was more talk than anything else, but now they are determined that bull-doing is no longer a myth, but a real, deadly, and deadly enemy, and that it must be stopped at all hazards. (Cayceville, Ind., May 21.)

Now here the damning secret is out. After all the wrong Democratic editors and demagogues have done the country where a conscience should be—after all the wrong done by members of Congress and by investigation committees, here comes the confession. From the very heart of the region where infatuation and political murders rage, comes the confession that bull-doing, rapine, and murder have become so unendurable that even the Democracy that has profited by it for years must denounce it. What a horrible revelation, however, it makes of the state of society and public morals. The most ultra Republican could say no worse of it. It is confessed that the Democracy accursed region there is no public sentiment of right or law to restrain crime; no civil officers or courts to enforce law or to preserve the peace; no lawful power to maintain order. Violence must be restrained by violence, shotguns be put down by shotguns. All those poor, blundering, unprincipled Democrats of Mississippi know it "duh right!"—Greenback (N. C.) North State.

We give the above extracts from Mississippi and North Carolina journals, the former the most besotted of all the bull-doing States of the South, and the latter the cradle which rocked the Ku-Klux into active life, and gave bull-doing its first important seed-off in this country. If this confession is honest, and "an honest confession is good for the soul," Mississippi must be rapidly merging into a State of political heathenism. This confession sounds queerly in contrast with the protestations of LAMAR, SINGLETON, and CHAMBERS, uttered with so much vehemence in the Halls of Congress that there has been no bull-doing in the State of Mississippi. We do not know so much

about LAMAR and CHAMBERS and their usual regard for truth, but this much we know of SINGLETON, no he was ever yet invented too ponderous for him to use it if answered his unprincipled purpose to hunt against a political opponent toward whom he harbored feelings of malice. Consuecution throws no safeguard between him and the neighbor of his evil disposition prompts the act. However, there are honest men in Mississippi who freely and voluntarily admit that lawlessness in the shape of bull-doing is no longer a myth, and that they are determined to break it up at all hazards, even if it requires the formation of military companies to accomplish that end. When bull-doing ceases and every citizen in that State is respected in his constitutional rights, Mississippi will take up step to the music of equal rights before the law and move on in the highway of advancement and prosperity, and not until then.

It looks a little peculiar with the Southern Senators and Representatives in Congress are inveighing at the top of their voices against "bayonet rule" to find their constituents threatening to resort to that rule on their own account, if needs be, to suppress bull-doing, which has been the favorite pastime of that section of the country. Verily, the world does move.

**MORE OHIO POLITICS.**  
We find the following fraternal criticism in the Sandusky (Ohio) Register. Ohio politics are becoming too absurd for our solution, and we "give it up!"

THE WASHINGTON REPUBLICAN calls on Secretary SHERMAN to take the Ohio stump against EWING. It tells us that Mr. SHERMAN is the only man in Ohio who is equal in debate, and that SHERMAN is, as stated some time ago, in essentials a Greenbacker, holding opinions which will satisfy the mind of any intelligent student of American finance. As a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenbacker in name and in deed. He has been on all sides of the question, and cannot be relied on to hold any given position for any length of time. In fact, THE REPUBLICAN gives a most excellent reason for keeping SHERMAN out of the field, when it calls him in all the essentials a Greenbacker, a commitment of so doubtful a character that even JOHN himself will object to it. Besides, Mr. EWING is a debater of no mean order, and a debater SHERMAN cannot hold a candle to EWING. EWING is consistent in so far that since he entered public life he has been a Greenback